## WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

March 31, 2004

TO:	Internal File			
THRU:	Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor			
FROM:	David W. Darby, Senior Reclamation Specialist			
RE:	2003, 4th Quarter Water Monitoring, Star Point Refuse, C/007/0042-WQ03-4, Task #1847			
	submitted for all of the MRP required sites?  If y sites not monitored and reason why, if known:	YES[]	NO [X]	
Quality\datacthat the opera	report was prepared based on information in File: Otheck2003.xls. The mine permit was issued on Nator will start submitting data for the first quarter of formation is in the database.	ovember 14, 20		
See T year l	date does the MRP require a five-year resampling echnical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requires a five-year resampling requires as a five-year resampling requires as a five-year part of the same of the such a requirement.	uirements. Co	nsider the five-	
2 0				
3. Were all	required parameters reported for each site? ments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES[]	NO [X]	

Page 2 C/007/0042-WQ03-4 Task ID #1847 March 31, 2004

4.	Were irregularities found in the data?  Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES[]	NO [ ]
	See section 1.		
5.	Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?	1 <sup>st</sup> month.	YES[] NO[X]
	Identify sites and months not monitored:	2 <sup>nd</sup> month,	YES[] NO[X] YES[] NO[X]
6.	Were all required DMR parameters reported?  Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES[]	NO [ ]
	See section 1.		
7.	Were irregularities found in the DMR data?  Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES[]	NO [ ]
	See section 1.		
8.	Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do	vou recommend	1?

The inspector should contact the operator to make sure the data is placed in the database.

O:\007042.SWF\WATER QUALITY\DWDWQ03-4\_1847.DOC